

INCLUSION PROPERTIES FOR CERTAIN SUBCLASSES  
OF  $p$ -VALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED  
WITH NEW GENERALIZED DERIVATIVE OPERATOR<sup>1</sup>

E. A. Eljamal, M. Darus

In this paper we introduce several new classes of  $p$ -valent functions defined by new generalized derivative operator and investigate various inclusion properties of these classes. Some interesting applications involving classes of integral operators are also considered.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):** 30C45.

**Key words:**  $p$ -valent functions, derivative operator, integral operator, inclusion properties.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A(p)$  denote the class of functions of form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and  $p$ -valent in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$ . A function  $f \in A(p)$  is said to be in the class  $S_p^*(\alpha)$  of  $p$ -valently starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  in  $U$  if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p). \quad (1.2)$$

A function  $f \in A(p)$  is said to be in the class  $C_p(\alpha)$  of  $p$ -valently convex functions of order  $\alpha$  if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p). \quad (1.3)$$

It is easy to prove from (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$f(z) \in C_p(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \frac{z}{p} f'(z) \in S_p^*(\alpha). \quad (1.4)$$

For a function  $f \in A(p)$  we say that  $f \in K_p(\beta, \alpha)$  if there exists a function  $g \in S_p^*(\alpha)$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 \leq \beta). \quad (1.5)$$

Functions in the class  $K_p(\beta, \alpha)$  are called  $p$ -valently close-to-convex functions of order  $\beta$  type  $\alpha$ . We also say that a function  $f \in A(p)$  is in the class  $K_p^*(\beta, \alpha)$  of  $p$ -valently quasi convex functions of order  $\beta$  type  $\alpha$  if there exists a function  $g \in C_p(\alpha)$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(z f'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 \leq \beta). \quad (1.6)$$

It follows easily from (1.5) and (1.6) that

$$f(z) \in K_p^*(\beta, \alpha) \Leftrightarrow \frac{z}{p} f'(z) \in K_p(\beta, \alpha). \quad (1.7)$$

Now we will introduce a new generalized derivative operator  $D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}$  is defined by  $D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)} : A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ . For each  $f \in A(p)$  we have

$$f^{(q)}(z) = \frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!} a_k z^{k-q} \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, p > q). \quad (1.8)$$

For a function  $f \in A(p)$  we define  $D_{p,\lambda}^0 f^{(q)}(z) = f^{(q)}(z)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p,\lambda}^1 f^{(q)}(z) &= Df^{(q)}(z) = \frac{1}{p+\lambda-q} \left[ z(f^{(q)}(z))' + \lambda f^{(q)}(z) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p+\lambda-q} \left[ z f^{(q+1)}(z) + \lambda f^{(q)}(z) \right] = \frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q} \\ &+ \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!} \left( \frac{k+\lambda-q}{p+\lambda-q} \right) a_k z^{k-q} \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda \geq 0, p > q). \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p,\lambda}^2 f^{(q)}(z) &= D(D_{p,\lambda}^1 f^{(q)}(z)) \\ &= \frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!} \left( \frac{k+\lambda-q}{p+\lambda-q} \right)^2 a_k z^{k-q} \\ &(q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda \geq 0, p > q). \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z) &= D(D_{p,\lambda}^{n-1} f^{(q)}(z)) \\ &= \frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!} \left( \frac{k+\lambda-q}{p+\lambda-q} \right)^n a_k z^{k-q} \\ &(n \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda \geq 0, p > q). \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

Special cases of this operator includes, the Aghalary derivative operator  $D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(0)}(z) = D_{p,\lambda}^n f(z)$  [1], the Cho and Kim derivative operator  $D_{1,\lambda}^n f^{(0)}(z) = D_{\lambda}^n f(z)$  [2] and Salagean derivative operator  $D_{1,0}^n f^{(0)}(z) = D^n$  [3]. Furthermore, we have

$$z(D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z))' = (p+\lambda-q)D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z) - \lambda D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z). \quad (1.12)$$

Next by using the derivative operator  $D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z)$ , we introduce the following subclasses of  $A(p)$

$$S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha] := \left\{ f : f \in A(p) \text{ and } D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z) \in S_p^*(\alpha) \ (0 \leq \alpha < p) \right\}; \quad (1.13)$$

$$C[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha] := \left\{ f : f \in A(p) \text{ and } D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z) \in C_p(\alpha) \ (0 \leq \alpha < p) \right\}; \quad (1.14)$$

$$K_p[p, \lambda, q, n, \beta, \alpha] := \left\{ f : f \in A(p) \text{ and } D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z) \in K_p(\beta, \alpha) \ (0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \beta) \right\}; \quad (1.15)$$

And

$$K^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \beta, \alpha] := \left\{ f : f \in A(p) \text{ and } D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z) \in K_p^*(\beta, \alpha) \text{ (} 0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \beta \text{)} \right\}.$$

To prove our main results, we need the following lemma which is popularly known as the Miller–Mocanu Lemma.

**Lemma 1.1** (Miller and Mocanu [7]). *Let  $\theta(v, \nu)$  be a complex-valued function such that*

$$\theta : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\mathbb{C}$  is complex plane, and let

$$v = v_1 + iv_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = \nu_1 + i\nu_2.$$

Suppose also that the function  $\theta(v, \nu)$  satisfies each the following conditions:

- (i)  $\theta(v, \nu)$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{D}$ ;
- (ii)  $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(\theta(1, 0)) > 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\operatorname{Re}(\theta(iv_2, \nu_1)) \leq 0$  for all  $(iv_2, \nu_1) \in \mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\nu_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + v_2^2).$$

Let

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots \tag{1.16}$$

be analytic in  $U$  such that

$$(p(z), zp'(z)) \in \mathbb{D} \quad (z \in U).$$

If  $\operatorname{Re}(\theta(p(z), zp'(z))) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ), then  $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ).

## 2. The Main Inclusion Relationships

In this section we will investigate several inclusion relationships for  $p$ -valent functions classes, which are associated the derivative operator  $D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z)$ . Our first theorem is the following

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f \in A(p)$ . Suppose also that  $(\lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < p, p > q)$ . Then*

$$S^*[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha] \subset S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha] \quad (p + \lambda > q, 0 \leq \alpha < p). \tag{2.1}$$

◁ Let  $f(z) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha]$  and set

$$\frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z)} = \alpha + (p - \alpha)p(z) \tag{2.2}$$

where  $p(z)$  is given by (1.16) by applying the identity (1.12) we obtain

$$(p + \lambda - q) \left( \frac{D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z)} \right) = z \frac{(D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z)} + \lambda = (p - \alpha)p(z) + \alpha + \lambda.$$

By using logarithmic differentiation on both sides of the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1}f^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1}f^{(q)}(z)} &= \frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z)} + \frac{(p-\alpha)zp'(z)}{(p-\alpha)p(z) + \alpha + \lambda} \\ &= (p-\alpha)p(z) + \alpha + \frac{(p-\alpha)zp'(z)}{(p-\alpha)p(z) + \alpha + \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose  $v = p(z) = v_1 + iv_2$  and  $\nu = zp'(z) = \nu_1 + i\nu_2$ , and define the function  $\theta(v, \nu)$  by

$$\theta(v, \nu) = (p-\alpha)v + \frac{(p-\alpha)\nu_1}{(p-\alpha)v + \alpha + \lambda}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then, clearly,  $\theta(v, \nu)$  is continuous in

$$\mathbb{D} = \left( \mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ \frac{\lambda + \alpha}{p - \alpha} \right\} \right) \times \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad (1, 0) \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Re}(\theta(1, 0)) > 0.$$

Moreover, for all  $(iv_2, \nu_1) \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\nu_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + v_2^2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}(\theta(iv_2, \nu_1)) &= \text{Re} \left( \frac{(p-\alpha)\nu_1}{(p-\alpha)iv_2 + \alpha + \lambda} \right), \\ \frac{(p-\alpha)(\alpha + \lambda)\nu_1}{(p-\alpha)v_2^2 + (\alpha + \lambda)^2} &\leq \frac{-(p-\alpha)(1 + v_2^2)}{2([\!(p-\alpha)v_2^2\!]^2 + (\alpha + \lambda)^2)} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that  $\theta(v, \nu)$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1.1.

This shows that if  $\text{Re} \theta(p(z), zp'(z)) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ), then  $\text{Re} p(z) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ), that is if  $f^{(q)}(z) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha]$  then  $f^{(q)}(z) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha]$ . Then proof is of Theorem 2.1 is complete

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f \in A(p)$ . Suppose also that  $(\lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < p, p > q)$ . Then  $C[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha] \subset C[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha]$ .*

$\triangleleft$  Let  $f \in C[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha]$ . Then by (1.14), we have  $(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1}f^{(q)}(z)) \in C_p(\alpha)$  furthermore, in view of the relationship (1.4) we find that

$$\frac{z}{p} \left( D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1}f^{(q)}(z) \right)' \in S_p^*(\alpha),$$

that is, that

$$D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} \left( \frac{z}{p} \left( f^{(q+1)}(z) \right) \right) \in S_p^*(\alpha).$$

Thus by (1.13) and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\frac{z}{p} f^{(q+1)}(z) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha] \subset S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha],$$

which implies that

$$C[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha] \subset C[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha].$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 thus complete.  $\triangleright$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $f \in A(p)$ . Suppose also that  $(\lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < p, p > q, \beta \geq 0)$ . Then*

$$K[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha] \subset K[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha] \quad (p + \lambda > q, 0 \leq \alpha < p, \beta \geq 0). \tag{2.4}$$

◁ Let  $f(z) \in K[p, \lambda, q, n+1, \alpha]$ . Then there exists a function  $\psi(z) \in S_p^*(\alpha)$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z))'}{\psi(z)} \right) > \beta \quad (z \in U).$$

We set  $D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} g^{(q)}(z) = \psi(z)$ , so that we have

$$g(z) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n+1, \alpha] \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} g^{(q)}(z)} \right) > \beta \quad (z \in U).$$

Now we put

$$\frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} g^{(q)}(z)} = \beta + (p - \beta)p(z), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $p(z)$  is given, as before by (1.16) and using (1.12). From (2.5) we have

$$z(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z))' = D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} g^{(q)}(z)[\beta + (p - \beta)p(z)]. \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)} &= \frac{D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1}(z f^{(q+1)}(z))}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z)} = \frac{z[D_{p,\lambda}^n(z f^{(q+1)}(z))]'}{z(D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)) + \lambda D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{z[D_{p,\lambda}^n(z f^{(q+1)}(z))]'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)} + \lambda \frac{D_{p,\lambda}^n(z f^{(q+1)}(z))}{D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)}}{\frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)} + \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g(z) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n+1, \alpha]$

$$\frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)} = \alpha + (p - \alpha)G(z),$$

where

$$G(z) = g_1(x, y) + ig_2(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}(G(z)) = g_1(x, y) > 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} g^{(q)}(z)} = \frac{\frac{(D_{p,\lambda}^n(z f^{(q+1)}(z)))'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)} + \lambda[\beta + (p - \beta)p(z)]}{\alpha + (p - \alpha)G(z) + \lambda} \quad (2.7)$$

we get from (2.6) that

$$z(D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z))' = D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)[\beta + (p - \beta)p(z)]. \quad (2.8)$$

Upon differentiating both sides of (2.8) with respect to  $z$  we have

$$\frac{z[z(D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z))']'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n g^{(q)}(z)} = (p - \beta)zp'(z) + [\alpha + (p - \alpha)G(z)][\beta + (p - \beta)p(z)]. \quad (2.9)$$

By substituting (2.9) into (2.7) we obtain

$$\frac{z(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z))'}{z(D_{p,\lambda}^{n+1} g^{(q)}(z))} - \beta = (p - \beta)p(z) + \frac{(p - \beta)zp'(z)}{(p - \alpha)G(z) + \alpha + \lambda}$$

we now choose  $v = p(z) = v_1 + iv_2$  and  $\nu = zp'(z) = \nu_1 + i\nu_2$ . If we defined the function  $\theta(v, \nu)$  by

$$\theta(v, \nu) = (p - \beta)v + \frac{(p - \beta)\nu}{(p - \alpha)G(z) + \alpha + \lambda} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$(v, \nu) \in \mathbb{D} = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}^*) \times \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$\mathbb{D}^* := \left\{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}(G(z)) = g_1(x, y) \geq \frac{\lambda + \alpha}{p - \alpha} \right\}$$

it is easy to see that  $(v, \nu)$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\theta(1, 0)) > 0$ . Moreover, for all  $(iv_2, \nu_1) \in \mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\nu_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + v_2^2)$$

we have  $\operatorname{Re}(\theta(1, 0)) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{(p - \beta)\nu_1}{(p - \alpha)G(z) + \alpha + \lambda} \right)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(p - \beta)\nu_1 [(p - \alpha)g_1(x, y) + \alpha + \lambda]}{[(p - \alpha)g_1(x, y) + \alpha + \lambda]^2 + [(p - \alpha)g_2(x, y)]^2} \\ & \leq \frac{-(p - \beta)(1 + v_2^2)[(p - \alpha)g_1(x, y) + \alpha + \lambda]}{2[(p - \alpha)g_1(x, y) + \alpha + \lambda]^2 + [(p - \alpha)g_2(x, y)]^2} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that  $\theta(v, \nu)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f \in A(p)$ . Suppose also that  $(\lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < p, p > q, \beta \geq 0)$ . Then*

$$K^*[p, \lambda, q, n + 1, \alpha] \subset K^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha] \quad (p + \lambda > q, 0 \leq \alpha < p, \beta \geq 0). \tag{2.11}$$

◁ We can prove Theorem 2.4 by using Theorem 2.3 in conjunction with the equation (1.7). Next we will study the integral operator given by [8]. ▷

### 3. Integral Operator

For  $c > -p$  and  $f(z) \in A(p)$  define the integral operator  $J_{c,p}(f(z))$  as

$$J_{c,p}(f(z)) = \frac{c + p}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt. \tag{3.1}$$

The operator  $J_{c,1}(f(z))$  ( $c \in \mathbb{N}$ ) was introduced by Bernardi [4]. In particular, the operator  $J_{1,1}(f(z))$  was introduced earlier by Libera [5] and Livingston [6].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in A(p)$ . Suppose also that  $(\lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < p, p > q$  and  $c \geq -p)$ . If  $f(z) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha]$  then  $J_{c,p}(f(z)) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha]$ .*

◁ Let  $f(z) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha]$ . From (3.1) we have

$$z \left( D_{p,\lambda}^n J_{c,p}(f^{(q)}(z)) \right)' = (c + p) D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z) - c D_{p,\lambda}^n J_{c,p}(f^{(q)}(z)) \tag{3.2}$$

set

$$\frac{z \left( D_{p,\lambda}^n J_{c,p}(f^{(q)}(z)) \right)'}{D_{p,\lambda}^n J_{c,p}(f^{(q)}(z))} = \alpha + (p - \alpha)p(z). \tag{3.3}$$

Where  $p(z)$  is given by (1.16) and using the identity (3.2) we have

$$\frac{D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^n J_{c,p}(f^{(q)}(z))} = \frac{1}{c+p} \{c + \alpha + (p - \alpha)p(z)\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Differentiating (3.4), we obtain

$$z \left( \frac{D_{p,\lambda}^n f^{(q)}(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^n J_{c,p}(f^{(q)}(z))} \right)' - \alpha = (p - \alpha)p(z) + \frac{(p - \alpha)zp'(z)}{c + \alpha + (p - \alpha)p(z)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Now we define the function  $\theta(v, \nu)$  by taking  $v = p(z)$ ,  $\nu = zp'(z)$  then (3.5) become as

$$\theta(v, \nu) = (p - \alpha)v + \frac{(p - \alpha)\nu}{c + \alpha + (p - \alpha)v}.$$

It is easy to see that the function  $\theta(v, \nu)$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1 in  $\mathbb{D} = \left( \mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ \frac{c+\alpha}{p-\alpha} \right\} \right) \times \mathbb{C}$ . Now we will prove third condition

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\theta(iv_2, \nu_1)\} &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(p - \alpha)\nu_1}{c + \alpha + (p - \alpha)iv_2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{(p - \alpha)(c + \alpha)\nu_1}{(c + \alpha)^2 + (p - \alpha)^2\nu_2^2} \leq \frac{-(p - \alpha)(c + \alpha)(1 + \nu_2^2)}{2[(c + \alpha)^2 + (p - \alpha)^2\nu_2^2]} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The function  $\theta(v, \nu)$  satisfies conditions of Lemma 1.1. This shows that if  $\operatorname{Re}\{\theta(p(z), zp'(z))\} > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ) then  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ), that is if  $f(z) \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha]$ . The prove is complete.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f \in A(p)$ . Suppose also that ( $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ,  $p > q$ ,  $c \geq -p$ ). If  $f(z) \in C[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha]$  then  $J_{c,p} \in C[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha]$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} \triangleleft f(z) \in C[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha] &\Rightarrow \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha] \Rightarrow J_{c,p} \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{z}{p} J_{c,p}(f(z))' \in S^*[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha] \Rightarrow J_{c,p}(f(z)) \in C[p, \lambda, q, n, \alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\triangleright$

## References

1. Aghalary R., Ali R. M., Joshi S. B., Ravichandran V. Inequalities for analytic functions defined by certain linear operators // Int. J. Math. Sci.—2005.—Vol. 4.—P. 267–274.
2. Cho N. E., Kim T. H. Multiplier transformations and strongly closeto-convex functions // Bull. Korean Math. Soc.—2003.—Vol. 40.—P. 399–410.
3. Salagean G. S. Subclasses of univalent functions // Lecture Notes in Math.—Heideberg: Springer-Verlag, 1983.—Vol. 1013.—P. 362–372.
4. Bernardi D. Convex and starlike univalent functions // Trans. Amer. Math.—1969.—Vol. 135.—P. 429–446.
5. Libera R. J. Some classes of regular univalent functions // Proc. Amer. Math.—1965.—Vol. 16.—P. 755–758.
6. Livingston A. E. On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions // Proc. Amer. Math.—1966.—Vol. 17.—P. 352–357.

7. S-Mou Yuan, Z-Ming Liu, Srivastava H. M. Some inclusion relationships and integral-preserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators // J. Math. Anal.—2008.—Vol. 337.—P. 505–515.
8. Lashin A. Y., Aouf M. K. The Noor integral operator and multivalent functions // Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci.—2007.—Vol. 44.—P. 273–279.

*Received April 15, 2011*

EBTISAM A. ELJAMAL  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Faculty of Science and Technology,  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, *PhD Student*  
43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia  
E-mail: n\_ebtisam@yahoo.com

MASLINA DARUS  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Faculty of Science and Technology,  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, *Prof.*  
43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia  
E-mail: maslina@ukm.my

ВЛОЖЕНИЯ ДЛЯ НЕКОТОРЫХ ПОДКЛАССОВ  
 $p$ -ЛИСТНЫХ ФУНКЦИЙ, СВЯЗАННЫХ С ОБОБЩЕННЫМ  
ОПЕРАТОРОМ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИРОВАНИЯ

Эльджамал Э. А., Дарус М.

Вводятся новые классы аналитических  $p$ -листных функций, определяемые обобщенным оператором дифференцирования, и изучаются различные вложения этих классов. Рассматриваются некоторые интересные приложения, включая классы интегральных операторов.

**Ключевые слова:**  $p$ -листная функция, оператор дифференцирования, интегральный оператор, свойство вложения.