

УДК 517.957
 DOI 10.46698/c8515-1572-8469-r

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTION FOR NONLINEAR
 ANISOTROPIC ELLIPTIC DIRICHLET PROBLEMS

M. Naceri¹

¹ Higher Normal School of Laghouat,
 P.O. Box 4033, Martyrs Ave., Laghouat 03000, Algeria
 E-mail: nasrimokhtar@gmail.com, m.naceri@ens-lagh.dz

Abstract. We consider boundary value problem for nonlinear anisotropic elliptic partial differential equations in bounded open Lipschitz domain and the Dirichlet boundary conditions. We also suppose that the body force function belongs to the natural dual space under certain hypotheses regarding the nonlinear anisotropic operators present on the main side of the proposed problems. We prove the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution in anisotropic Sobolev space for this problem. Our proofs are based on various anisotropic Sobolev inequalities, embedding theorems, and features of pseudo-monotone operators. The functional setting involves anisotropic Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces in the scalar case and their most important properties.

Keywords: nonlinear elliptic equations, anisotropic Sobolev spaces, weak solution, existence, uniqueness.

AMS Subject Classification: 35J60, 35J66, 35J67.

For citation: Naceri, M. Existence and Uniqueness of Solution for Nonlinear Anisotropic Elliptic Dirichlet Problems, *Vladikavkaz Math. J.*, 2026, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 122–133. DOI: 10.46698/c8515-1572-8469-r.

1. Introduction

Our focus is on establishing both the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution for certain classes of nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations of the following model:

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u) - \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (\sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)) + \sum_{i=1}^N u|u|^{p_i-2} = f(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where Ω is a bounded open Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, $p_i > 1$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, are real numbers, such that

$$\bar{p} < N \quad \text{and} \quad p_+ < \bar{p}^*, \quad (2)$$

where $p_+ = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}} p_i$, $\bar{p} = N \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^{-1}$ the harmonic mean of $\{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$, with $\bar{p}^* = \frac{N\bar{p}}{N-\bar{p}}$ denotes the Sobolev conjugate of \bar{p} , $f \in W_0^{-1, \bar{p}'}(\Omega)$ (i. e. belongs to the dual space of $W_0^{1, \bar{p}}(\Omega)$), with $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$, $\bar{p}' = (p'_1, \dots, p'_N)$, and p'_i denotes the Hölder conjugate of p_i (i. e., $p'_i = \frac{p_i}{p_i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$), $\sigma_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, are Carathéodory functions such that, for almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$, and every $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\xi, \xi') \neq (0, 0)$:

$$\sigma_i(x, \xi)\xi \geq c_1 |\xi|^{p_i}, \quad (3)$$

$$|\sigma_i(x, \xi)| \leq c_2 (1 + |\xi|^{p_i})^{1 - \frac{1}{p_i}}, \tag{4}$$

$$(\sigma_i(x, \xi) - \sigma_i(x, \xi')) (\xi - \xi') \geq \begin{cases} c_3 |\xi - \xi'|^{p_i}, & \text{if } p_i \geq 2, \\ c_4 \frac{|\xi - \xi'|^2}{(|\xi| + |\xi'|)^{2 - p_i}}, & \text{if } p_i \in (1, 2), \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $c_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, 4$.

The anisotropy of the problem is due to the fact that the growth of each partial derivative is controlled by a different power. The interest in studying such operators lies in their application in the mathematical modeling of physical and mechanical processes in anisotropic continua, among them modeling of image processing and electro-rheological fluids; we refer to [1–4] for more details.

Numerous studies have addressed the topic of proving the uniqueness of a weak solution after demonstrating its existence in anisotropic (or isotropic) Sobolev space (i. e., $W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ or $W_0^{1, p}(\Omega)$) for non-linear elliptic problems with data belonging to the natural dual space and with different conditions. However, these studies were conducted under restrictions on the exponent p (or \vec{p}) of Sobolev space. In [5], the uniqueness of the weak solution is demonstrated when at least one $p_i \leq 2, i = 1, \dots, N$ and when the modulus of continuity of $a_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ (i. e., the coefficients of $|\partial_i u|^{p_i - 2} \partial_i u$ for the main side of the problem) is globally controlled. For the isotropic scalar case, the uniqueness result in [6] to the equation $-\text{div}(a(x, u, Du)) + \lambda u|u|^{p-2} = f$, fails for $(p > 2, \lambda = 0)$, but it holds for $(1 < p \leq 2, \lambda \geq 0)$ or $(2 < p < \infty, \lambda > 0)$. The study in [7] for the equation $-\text{div}(a(x, u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - \varphi(u)) = f$, also proved the existence only of the weak solution and the failure of the uniqueness when $p > 2$. To learn more about the works that studied the uniqueness of the solution; we can refer to [8–10].

In our paper, we have proved the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution to a nonlinear anisotropic elliptic problem with $W_0^{-1, \vec{p}'}(\Omega)$ -data under the above hypotheses. We based our proof on the main theorem on pseudo-monotone operators (Theorem 27.A in [11] and see also [12–14] for the existence and on the various anisotropic Sobolev inequalities for the uniqueness.

The structure of our paper is as follows: Important definitions, characteristics, and ideas pertaining to \vec{p} -Sobolev spaces are covered in Section 2, along with a review of certain anisotropic Sobolev inequalities. Section 3 contains the main theorem and its proof.

2. Preliminaries

The most significant results, basic properties, and embedding theorems pertaining to anisotropic Sobolev spaces in the scalar case will be examined in this section; for that we can refer to [15–19].

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$ be a bounded open Lipschitz domain. Let $1 < p_i < \infty, i = 1, \dots, N$ be real numbers. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{p} &= (p_1, \dots, p_N), \quad p_+ = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}} p_i, \quad p_- = \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}} p_i, \\ \bar{p} &= N \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^{-1} \quad (\text{the harmonic mean of } \{p_1, \dots, p_N\}), \\ \bar{p}^* &= \frac{N\bar{p}}{N - \bar{p}} \quad (\text{the Sobolev conjugate of } \bar{p} (< N)). \end{aligned}$$

The anisotropic Sobolev space $W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is defined as follows:

$$W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) : \partial_i u \in L^{p_i}(\Omega), i = 1, \dots, N \right\}.$$

It is a reflexive Banach space when endowed with the following norm:

$$\|u\|_{\vec{p}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \|u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}. \quad (6)$$

The Banach space $(W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{\vec{p}})$ is defined as follows

$$W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) = W^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) = \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{W^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)}.$$

If $\bar{p} < N$, then the following embedding (see [18])

$$W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega), \quad (7)$$

is continuous if $1 \leq r \leq \bar{p}^*$ and also compact if $1 \leq r < \bar{p}^*$. In addition, there exists $c > 0$ dependent on $N, p_i, i = 1 \dots, N$ and $|\Omega|$, such that for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and all $1 \leq r \leq \bar{p}^*$

$$\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N}}. \quad (8)$$

The relationship between the arithmetic and geometric means makes the previous Sobolev type inequality (8) implies that

$$\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}. \quad (9)$$

If $\bar{p} \geq N$, then each of (7), (8), and (9) remains true for all $r \geq 1$. The following Poincaré type inequality (see [20, 21]) holds true

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}. \quad (10)$$

From (10) and (6) we conclude that

$$u \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} \text{ is an equivalent norm to (6) on } W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega). \quad (11)$$

3. Existence and Uniqueness of Weak Solution

DEFINITION 1. The function u is a weak solution to the equation (1) in $W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ if and only if it satisfies for every $\phi \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ the following:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u + \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)) \partial_i \phi \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u |u|^{p_i-2} \phi \, dx = \langle f, \phi \rangle. \quad (12)$$

Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $1 < p_1, \dots, p_N < +\infty$ be real numbers, $f \in W_0^{-1, \vec{p}'}(\Omega)$, and $\sigma_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, be Carathéodory functions, such that (2)–(5) holds. Then there exists a unique weak solution to (1) in $W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$.*

◁ We consider the operator $\mathbf{T} : W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{-1, \vec{p}'}(\Omega)$, such that

$$\mathbf{T} : u \mapsto \left(\phi \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u + \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)) \partial_i \phi \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u |u|^{p_i-2} \phi \, dx \right).$$

Then we have, for all $u, \phi \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$,

$$\langle \mathbf{T}(u), \phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u + \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)) \partial_i \phi \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u |u|^{p_i-2} \phi \, dx.$$

We will follow a method similar to that in [22–25] to prove the boundedness, coerciveness, and pseudo-monotonicity of \mathbf{T} .

Putting $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_1 + \mathbf{T}_2$, such that

$$\langle \mathbf{T}_1(u), \phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u + \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)) \partial_i \phi \, dx,$$

$$\langle \mathbf{T}_2(u), \phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u |u|^{p_i-2} \phi \, dx.$$

From (4), (9), and the use of Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{T}_1(u), \phi \rangle| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \right| |\partial_i \phi| \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)| |\partial_i \phi| \, dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i-1} |\partial_i \phi| \, dx + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (1 + |\partial_i u|^{p_i})^{1-\frac{1}{p_i}} |\partial_i \phi| \, dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| |\partial_i u|^{p_i-1} \right\|_{p_i'} \|\partial_i \phi\|_{p_i} + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| (1 + |\partial_i u|^{p_i})^{1-\frac{1}{p_i}} \right\|_{p_i'} \|\partial_i \phi\|_{p_i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} \, dx \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p_i}} + c_2 \left(|\Omega| + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} \, dx \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p_i}} \right] \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i \phi\|_{p_i} \\ &\leq C \left(1 + N|\Omega| + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{p_i} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p^-}} \|\phi\|_{\vec{p}} \\ &\leq C \left(1 + N|\Omega| + \left(1 + \|u\|_{\vec{p}}^{p_+} \right) \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p^-}} \|\phi\|_{\vec{p}} \leq C' \left(1 + \|u\|_{\vec{p}}^{p_+} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p^-}} \|\phi\|_{\vec{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

From (10), and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \mathbf{T}_2(u), \phi \rangle| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i-1} |\phi| dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|u\|_{p_i}^{p_i-1} \|\phi\|_{p_i} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|u\|_{p_i}^{p_i-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\phi\|_{p_i} \leq \left(1 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|u\|_{p_i}\right)^{p_+-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^N \|\phi\|_{p_i} \\
&\leq (1 + (Nc\|u\|_{\vec{p}})^{p_+-1}) (Nc' \|\phi\|_{\vec{p}}) \leq C \left(1 + \|u\|_{\vec{p}}^{p_+-1}\right) \|\phi\|_{\vec{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

So, the boundedness of \mathbf{T} is provided by (13) and (14).

After dropping the non-negative term $\langle \mathbf{T}_2(u), u \rangle$, and using (3), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\langle \mathbf{T}(u), u \rangle}{\|u\|_{\vec{p}}} &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u) \partial_i u dx}{\|u\|_{\vec{p}}} \geq \frac{c \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{p_i}}{\|u\|_{\vec{p}}} \\
&\geq \frac{c \sum_{i=1}^N \min \left\{ \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{p_i^-}, \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{p_i^+} \right\}}{\|u\|_{\vec{p}}} \geq \frac{c \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{p_i^-} - Nc}{\|u\|_{\vec{p}}} \\
&\geq \frac{c \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} \right)^{p_i^-} - Nc}{\|u\|_{\vec{p}}} = \frac{c}{N^{p_i^-}} \|u\|_{\vec{p}}^{p_i^- - 1} - \frac{Nc}{\|u\|_{\vec{p}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Hence, (15) implies that \mathbf{T} is coercive.

Let $(u_n)_n \subset W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ be a sequence, such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega), \tag{16}$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathbf{T}(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0. \tag{17}$$

After setting

$$\Phi_{(i,n)}^{(1)} = (\sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_n) - \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)) (\partial_i u_n - \partial_i u),$$

$$\Phi_{(i,n)}^{(2)} = (|\partial_i u_n|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_n - |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u) (\partial_i u_n - \partial_i u),$$

$$\Phi_{(i,n)}^{(3)} = (|u_n|^{p_i-2} u_n - |u|^{p_i-2} u) (u_n - u),$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathbf{T}(u_n), u_n - u \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(1)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u) (\partial_i u_n - \partial_i u) dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(2)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u (\partial_i u_n - \partial_i u) dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(3)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i-2} u (u_n - u) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^r(\Omega)$, where $1 \leq r < \bar{p}^*$ (due (7) and (16)), and the boundedness of $(|u|^{p_i-2}u)$ in $L^{p'_i}(\Omega)$, we conclude

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i-2}u(u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0. \tag{19}$$

Since $\partial_i u_n \rightharpoonup \partial_i u$ weakly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, then (7) gives us

$$\partial_i u_n \rightarrow \partial_i u \text{ strongly in } L^r(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq r < \bar{p}^*. \tag{20}$$

From (20), and the boundedness of both $(|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2}\partial_i u)$, $\sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)$ in $L^{p'_i}(\Omega)$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)(\partial_i u_n - \partial_i u) dx \rightarrow 0, \tag{21}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2}\partial_i u(\partial_i u_n - \partial_i u) dx \rightarrow 0. \tag{22}$$

By combining (17), (18), (19), (21), and (22), we deduce that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(1)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(2)} dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(3)} dx \right) \leq 0. \tag{23}$$

Let us recall this inequality: for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ($(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$) and every $p > 1$, we have

$$(|\alpha|^{p-2}\alpha - |\beta|^{p-2}\beta)(\alpha - \beta) \geq \begin{cases} 2^{2-p}|\alpha - \beta|^p, & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ (p-1)\frac{|\alpha-\beta|^2}{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)^{2-p}}, & \text{if } p \in (1, 2). \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Through (23), (5), and (24), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(1)} dx = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(2)} dx = 0, \tag{26}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(3)} dx = 0. \tag{27}$$

Now, we set that

$$A_{(i,n)} = \partial_i u_n - \partial_i u, \quad B_{(i,n)} = |\partial_i u_n| + |\partial_i u|.$$

If $p_i \geq 2$, then by (5) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |A_{(i,n)}|^{p_i} dx \leq \frac{1}{c_3} \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(1)} dx. \tag{28}$$

If $1 < p_i < 2$, then by (5) and Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |A_{(i,n)}|^{p_i} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|A_{(i,n)}|^{p_i}}{(B_{(i,n)})^{\frac{p_i(2-p_i)}{2}}} (B_{(i,n)})^{\frac{p_i(2-p_i)}{2}} dx \\
& \leq \left\| \frac{|A_{(i,n)}|^{p_i}}{(B_{(i,n)})^{\frac{p_i(2-p_i)}{2}}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p_i}}(\Omega)} \times \left\| (B_{(i,n)})^{\frac{p_i(2-p_i)}{2}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-p_i}}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|A_{(i,n)}|^2}{(B_{(i,n)})^{2-p_i}} dx \right)^{\frac{p_-}{2}}, \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|A_{(i,n)}|^2}{(B_{(i,n)})^{2-p_i}} dx \right)^{\frac{p_+}{2}} \right\} \\
& \times \max \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega} (B_{(i,n)})^{p_i} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p_-}{2}}, \left(\int_{\Omega} (B_{(i,n)})^{p_i} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p_+}{2}} \right\} \quad (29) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{c_4} \max \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(1)} dx \right)^{\frac{p_-}{2}}, \left(\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{(i,n)}^{(1)} dx \right)^{\frac{p_+}{2}} \right\} \\
& \times \max \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega} (B_{(i,n)})^{p_i} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p_-}{2}}, \left(\int_{\Omega} (B_{(i,n)})^{p_i} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p_+}{2}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u, u_n \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, then thanks to (25) we can pass to the limit when $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in both (28), (29) and thus get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |A_{(i,n)}|^{p_i} = 0, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \quad (30)$$

So, (30) implies, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$\partial_i u_n \rightarrow \partial_i u \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p_i}(\Omega) \quad \text{and a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (31)$$

We can point out here that in a similar way using (26) and with the help of (24) we can also simply get (31). By (31) we have

$$\sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_n) \rightharpoonup \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u) \quad \text{weakly in } L^{p'_i}(\Omega),$$

then we have, for every $\phi \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_n) \partial_i \phi \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u) \partial_i \phi. \quad (32)$$

On the other hand, as $\sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_n) \rightarrow \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u)$ and $\partial_i u_n \rightarrow \partial_i u$ a.e. in Ω , Fatou's Lemma implies that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_n) \partial_i u_n \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u) \partial_i u. \quad (33)$$

From (32) and (33), we deduce that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int \Omega \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_n) (\partial_i u_n - \partial_i \phi) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u) (\partial_i u - \partial_i \phi). \tag{34}$$

With proof steps similar to proof (34), we can easily get

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u_n|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_n (\partial_i u_n - \partial_i \phi) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u (\partial_i u - \partial_i \phi). \tag{35}$$

In a similar way to proof (31) by using (27) and with the help of (24) we can simply get

$$u_n \longrightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p_i}(\Omega) \quad \text{and a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{36}$$

From (36), we deduce that

$$|u_n|^{p_i-2} u_n \rightharpoonup |u|^{p_i-2} u \quad \text{weakly in } L^{p'_i}(\Omega), \quad \text{and a.e. in } \Omega.$$

and this means that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_i-2} u_n (u_n - \phi) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i-2} u (u - \phi). \tag{37}$$

We also have, for every $\phi \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{T}(u_n), u_n - \phi \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_n) (\partial_i u_n - \partial_i \phi) dx \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u_n|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_n (u_n - \phi) dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_i-2} u_n (u_n - \phi) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

By combining (34), (35), (37) and (38), we get for every $\phi \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathbf{T}(u_n), u_n - \phi \rangle \geq \langle \mathbf{T}(u), u - \phi \rangle. \tag{39}$$

Finally, the pseudo-monotonicity of \mathbf{T} is implied by (39). Thus, all conditions of the main theorem on pseudo-monotone operators have been satisfied. Therefore, we have proven that our problem (1) has at least one weak solution.

Now we prove the uniqueness of this solution. Let u_1, u_2 be two weak solutions of (1). We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_i u_1|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_1 + \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_1)) \partial_i \phi dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u_1 |u_1|^{p_i-2} \phi dx = \langle f, \phi \rangle, \tag{40}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_i u_2|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_2 + \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_2)) \partial_i \phi dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u_2 |u_2|^{p_i-2} \phi dx = \langle f, \phi \rangle. \tag{41}$$

By taking $\varphi = u_1 - u_2$ as a test function in (40) and in (41), then subtracting the results side by side, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_i u_1|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_1 - |\partial_i u_2|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_2) (\partial_i u_1 - \partial_i u_2) dx \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_1) - \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_2)) (\partial_i u_1 - \partial_i u_2) dx \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (|u_1|^{p_i-2} u_1 - |u_2|^{p_i-2} u_2) (u_1 - u_2) dx = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Since (24), we conclude for every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ that

$$(|\partial_i u_1|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_1 - |\partial_i u_2|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_2) (\partial_i u_1 - \partial_i u_2) \geq 0, \quad (43)$$

$$(|u_1|^{p_i-2} u_1 - |u_2|^{p_i-2} u_2) (u_1 - u_2) \geq 0. \quad (44)$$

Also, since (5), we get for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ that

$$(\sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_1) - \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_2)) (\partial_i u_1 - \partial_i u_2) \geq 0. \quad (45)$$

From (43), (44), (45) and (42), we obtain for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ that

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\partial_i u_1|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_1 - |\partial_i u_2|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_2) (\partial_i u_1 - \partial_i u_2) dx = 0, \quad (46)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (\sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_1) - \sigma_i(x, \partial_i u_2)) (\partial_i u_1 - \partial_i u_2) dx = 0, \quad (47)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (|u_1|^{p_i-2} u_1 - |u_2|^{p_i-2} u_2) (u_1 - u_2) dx = 0. \quad (48)$$

Now, after setting, for every $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\Lambda_i = \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_i u_1|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_1 - |\partial_i u_2|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_2) (\partial_i u_1 - \partial_i u_2) dx,$$

$$A_i = \partial_i u_1 - \partial_i u_2 \quad \text{and} \quad B_i = |\partial_i u_1| + |\partial_i u_2|,$$

and like the proof steps followed in both (28), (29), thanks to (24) we can obtain, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\partial_i (u_1 - u_2)|^{p_i} dx \leq c \Lambda_i, \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i (u_1 - u_2)|^{p_i} dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{c_4} \max \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega} (B_i)^{p_i} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p_-}{2}}, \left(\int_{\Omega} (B_i)^{p_i} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p_+}{2}} \right\} \times \max \left\{ \Lambda_i^{\frac{p_i^-}{2}}, \Lambda_i^{\frac{p_i^+}{2}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Since $u_1, u_2 \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, (50) implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\partial_i(u_1 - u_2)|^{p_i} dx \leq c' \max \left\{ \Lambda_i^{\frac{p_i^-}{2}}, \Lambda_i^{\frac{p_i^+}{2}} \right\}. \quad (51)$$

By combining (49), (51), and (46), we get that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\partial_i(u_1 - u_2)|^{p_i} dx = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (52)$$

Then, we conclude that

$$\|\partial_i(u_1 - u_2)\|_{p_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (53)$$

Hence, we get that

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_{\vec{p}} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (54)$$

Then, (54) implies that $u_1 = u_2$. Thus, Theorem 1 has been proven. \triangleright

REMARK 1. In a similar way, we can prove the uniqueness of the solution by adopting one of the two equations (47) (with the help of (5)) or (48) (with the help of (24)) instead of (46).

References

1. Ružička, M. *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1748, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
2. Chen, Y., Levine, S. and Rao, M. Variable Exponent, Linear Growth Functionals in Image Restoration, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 2006, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 1383–1406. DOI: 10.1137/050624522.
3. Bear, J. *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*, New York, American Elsevier, 1972.
4. Bendahmane, M., Langlais, M. and Saad, M. On Some Anisotropic Reaction-Diffusion Systems with L^1 -data Modeling the Propagation of an Epidemic Disease, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 2003, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 617–636. DOI: 10.1016/S0362-546X(03)00090-7.
5. Antontsev, S. and Chipot, M. Anisotropic Equations: Uniqueness and Existence Results, *Differential Equations*, 2008, vol. 21, no. 5–6, pp. 401–419.
6. Boccardo, L., Gallouët, T. and Murat, F. Unicité de la Solution de Certaines Equations Elliptiques non Linéaires, *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences. Série I. Mathématique*, 1992, vol. 315, no. 1, pp. 1159–1164.
7. Carrillo, J. and Chipot, M. On Some Nonlinear Elliptic Equations Involving Derivatives of the Nonlinearity, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, Section A Mathematics*, 1985, vol. 100, no. 3–4, pp. 281–294.
8. Boccardo, L. and Cirmi, R. Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions of Unilateral Problems with L^1 Data, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, 1999, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 195–206.
9. Chipot, M. and Cimatti, G. A Uniqueness Result for the Thermistor Problem, *European Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 1991, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 97–103.
10. Chipot, M. and Michaille, G. Uniqueness Results and Monotonicity Properties for Strongly Nonlinear Elliptic Variational Inequalities, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4e série*, 1989, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 137–166.
11. Zeidler, E. *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications, II/B: Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Translated from the German by the Author and Leo F. Boron, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
12. Brézis, H. Équations et Inéquations Non Linéaires Dans les Espaces Vectoriels en Dualité, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 1968, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 115–175.
13. Browder, F. E. Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 1963, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 862–874. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1963-11068-X.
14. Minty, G. J. On a “Monotonicity” Method for the Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Banach Spaces, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 1963, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 1038–1041. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.50.6.1038.

15. Nikolskii, S. M. Imbedding Theorems for Functions with Partial Derivatives Considered in Different Metrics, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 1958, vol. 118, no. 1, pp. 35–37 (in Russian).
16. Nikolskii, S. M. An Imbedding Theorem for Functions with Partial Derivatives Considered in Different Metrics, *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 1958, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 321–336 (in Russian).
17. Slobodeckii, L. N. Generalized Sobolev Spaces and Their Application to Boundary Problems for Partial Differential Equations, *Leningradskij gosudarstvennyj pedagogicheskij institut: Uchenye zapiski* [Leningrad State Pedagogical Institute: Scientific Notes], 1958, vol. 197, pp. 54–112 (in Russian).
18. Troisi, M. Teoremi di Inclusione per Spazi di Sobolev non Isotropi, *Ricerche di Matematica*, 1969, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 3–24.
19. Trudinger, N. S. An Imbedding Theorem for $H_0(G, \Omega)$ Spaces, *Studia Mathematica*, 1974, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 17–30.
20. Fragalà, I., Gazzola, F. and Kawohl, B. Existence and Nonexistence Results for Anisotropic Quasilinear Elliptic Equations, *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré*, 2004, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 715–734.
21. Fan, X. Anisotropic Variable Exponent Sobolev Spaces and $\vec{p}(x)$ -Laplacian Equations, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, 2011, vol. 56, no. 7–9, pp. 623–642. DOI: 10.1080/17476931003728412.
22. Naceri, M. Anisotropic Nonlinear Weighted Elliptic Equations with Variable Exponents, *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, 2023, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 277–285. DOI: 10.1515/gmj-2022-2216.
23. Naceri, M. Entropy Solutions for Variable Exponents Nonlinear Anisotropic Elliptic Equations with Natural Growth Terms, *Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas*, 2024, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 99–115. DOI: 10.15446/recolma.v58n1.117442.
24. Naceri, M. Anisotropic Nonlinear Elliptic Systems with Variable Exponents, Degenerate Coercivity and $L^{q(\cdot)}$ Data, *Annals of the Academy of Romanian Scientists: Series on Mathematics and its Applications*, 2022, vol. 14, no. 1–2, pp. 107–140. DOI: 10.56082/annalsarscimath.2022.1-2.107.
25. Naceri, M. and Benboubker, M. B. Distributional Solutions of Anisotropic Nonlinear Elliptic Systems with Variable Exponents: Existence and Regularity, *Advances in Operator Theory*, 2022, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 1–34. DOI: 10.1007/s43036-022-00183-4.

Received September 11, 2025

МОКХТАР НАСЕРИ
 Higher Normal School of Laghouat,
 P.O. Box 4033, Martyrs Ave., Laghouat 03000, Algeria,
 Professor in the Mathematics Department
 E-mail: nasrimokhtar@gmail.com, m.naceri@ens-lagh.dz
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8882-6445>

Владикавказский математический журнал
 2026, Том 28, Выпуск 1, С. 122–133

СУЩЕСТВОВАНИЕ И ЕДИНСТВЕННОСТЬ РЕШЕНИЯ ДЛЯ НЕЛИНЕЙНЫХ АНИЗОТРОПНЫХ ЭЛЛИПТИЧЕСКИХ ЗАДАЧ ДИРИХЛЕ

Насери М.¹

¹ Высшая педагогическая школа Лагуата,
 Алжир, 03000, Лагуат, пр. Мартирс

E-mail: nasrimokhtar@gmail.com, m.naceri@ens-lagh.dz

Аннотация. Рассматривается краевая задача для нелинейных анизотропных эллиптических дифференциальных уравнений в частных производных в ограниченной открытой липшицевой области и граничными условиями Дирихле. При этом предполагается, что функция внешних сил принадлежит естественному двойственному пространству при определенных гипотезах относительно нелинейных анизотропных операторов, присутствующих в основной части предлагаемых задач. Центральный результат представляет собой доказательство существования и единственности слабого решения в анизотропном

пространстве Соболева для этой задачи. Оно основывается на применении различных анизотропных неравенств Соболева, теорем вложения и определенных особенностях псевдомонотонных операторов. Отметим, что функциональная постановка задачи включает анизотропные пространства Лебега и Соболева в скалярном случае и их наиболее важные свойства.

Ключевые слова: нелинейные эллиптические уравнения, анизотропные пространства Соболева, слабое решение, существование, единственность.

AMS Subject Classification: 35J60, 35J66, 35J67.

Образец цитирования: *Naceri M.* Existence and Uniqueness of Solution for Nonlinear Anisotropic Elliptic Dirichlet Problems // Владикавк. мат. журн.—2026.—Т. 28, № 1.—С. 122–133 (in English). DOI: 10.46698/c8515-1572-8469-г.